

## Research Article

## The Therapeutic Vision Non-Conventional Healing: A New Paradigm

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD

Pain Medicine Doctor in Rensselaer

**\*Corresponding author**

Julian Ungar-Sargon. Pain Medicine Doctor in Rensselaer

Received: 18 July 2024

Accepted: 22 July 2024

Published: 26 July 2024

**Copyright**

© 2024 Julian Ungar-Sargon

OPEN ACCESS

### Introduction



The infinity of space and time separates us from God. How are we to seek for him? How are we to go toward him? Even if we were to walk for hundreds of years, we should do no more than go round and round the world. Even in an airplane we could not do anything else. We are incapable of progressing vertically. We cannot take a step toward the heavens. God crosses the universe and comes to us.

Over the infinity of space and time, the infinitely more infinite love of God comes to possess us. He comes at his own time. We have the power to consent or refuse. If we remain deaf, he comes back again and again like a beggar, but also, like a beggar, one day he stops coming. If we consent, God puts a little seed in us and he goes away again. From that moment, God has no more to do; neither have we, except to wait. We only have not to regret the consent we gave him, the nuptial yes. It is not as easy as it seems, for the growth of the seed within us is painful. Moreover, from the very fact that we accept this growth, we cannot avoid destroying whatever gets in its way, pulling up the weeds, cutting the good grass, and unfortunately the good grass is part of our very own flesh, so this gardening amounts to a violent operation. On the whole, however, the seed grows of itself. A day comes when the soul belongs to God, when it not only consents to love but when truly and effectively it loves. Then in its turn it must cross the universe to go to God. The soul does not love like a creature with created love. The love within it is divine, uncreated; for it is the love of God for God that is passing through it. God alone is capable of loving God. We can only consent to give up our own feelings so as to allow free passage in our soul for this love. That is the meaning of denying oneself. We are created for this consent, and for this alone.

Divine Love crossed the infinity of space and time to come from God to us. But how can it repeat the journey in the opposite direction, starting from a finite creature? When the seed of Divine Love placed in us has grown and become a tree, how can we, who bear it, take it back to its origin? How can we repeat the journey made by God when he came to us, in the opposite direction? How can we cross infinite distance?

It seems impossible, but there is a way—a way with which we are familiar. We know quite well in what likeness this tree is made, this tree that has grown within us, the most beautiful tree where the birds of the air come and perch. We know what is the most beautiful of all trees. "No forest bears its equal." Something still a little more frightening than a gibbet—that is the most beautiful of all trees. It was the seed of this tree

that God placed within us, without our knowing what seed it was. If we had known, we should not have said yes at the first moment. It is this tree that has grown within us and become ineradicable. Only a betrayal could uproot it.

When we hit a nail with a hammer, the whole of the shock received by the large head of the nail passes into the point without any of it being lost, although it is only a point. If the hammer and the head of the nail were infinitely big, it would be just the same. The point of the nail would transmit this infinite shock at the point to which it was applied....

He whose soul remains ever turned toward God though the nail pierces it finds himself nailed to the very center of the universe. It is the true center; it is not in the middle; it is beyond space and time; it is God. In a dimension that does not belong to space, that is not time, that is indeed quite a different dimension, this nail has pierced cleanly through all creation, through the thickness of the screen separating the soul from God.

In this marvelous dimension, the soul, without leaving the place and the instant where the body to which it is united is situated, can cross the totality of space and time and come into the very presence of God.

It is at the intersection of creation and its Creator.

Simone Weil

In searching for models that might inform my intuition concerning the need for a new model of healing I found in Simone Weil and James Hillman's work much resonance and a theoretical framework with which to associate concepts of suffering in the healing process.

### Suffering and Compassion [1]

Simone Weil's central conviction is that if one wants to show how God is justified in allowing suffering, it must be suffering as it is experienced and lived through that is thereby justified. Similarly, a good part of the merit and fertility of Simone Weil's work consists in the profundity of her descriptions of human suffering, whether of the experience of mechanised labour [2], the violent futility of war [3], or simply the experience of intense regret [4]. Weil's comments about how one should think and speak about suffering are intimately entwined with her understanding of what it is like to suffer.

One of Weil's central convictions is expressed very simply: 'thought flies from affliction as promptly and irresistibly as an animal fly from death' [5]. As a result, we seldom, if ever, contemplate the worst suffering – in ourselves, or in others - honestly or willingly. Drawing on the book of Job, Weil stresses the human tendency to despise the afflicted, to 'attach all the scorn, all the revulsion, all the hatred which our reason attaches to crime, to affliction' [5].

To contemplate total humiliation in another is to risk contemplating it in oneself, and so the sight of affliction repels the intellect, because it makes us aware of our 'almost infinite fragility' [6].

---

The body can be left in permanent pain by the simplest of physical changes, and the soul and social personality are equally subject to unpredictable forces and dependent upon all sorts of external objects, themselves temporary and unpredictable [7]. To consider the reality of the afflicted in another is to face the thought that we too are entirely at the mercy of circumstance; that no deep principle or existential right – nothing, in fact, other than the workings of ‘blind necessity’ - distinguishes my well-being from another’s poverty, sickness or sorrow [8].

In affliction, one is subject to contradictory forces: suffering consumes one’s attention and brings it back repeatedly to the present, but at the same time produces the desire for a future, any future, in which there is no trace of this suffering. When articulating the experience of this kind of temporal conflict, Weil makes a point that will be particularly important for the discussion that follows. She notes that often two thoughts concerning the duration of suffering appear to ease the burden a little: that it will stop immediately in the very next moment, or that it will continue for ever. ‘We can think of it as impossible or necessary, but we can never think that it simply is. That is unendurable’ [9].

The hope that suffering is going to stop in the very next instant is linked to the thought ‘I cannot bear it, therefore it is going to cease’, and gives rise to deception, insofar as one begins to believe that the world is structured according to one’s desires [10].

However, to gloomily suppose that suffering is destined to last for ever may be to seek for comfort in despair, which is at least fixed and final, and therefore potentially subject to control.

If suffering, however horrific, can be relied upon, or predicted, then the element of chance and senselessness is diminished, so that one does not have to contemplate the fact that no deep principle governs its distribution. The point here seems to be that false forms of hope may not be all that different from despair; both seek an escape from the tension that suffering produces, and the contingency that characterises it [11].

For all the reasons above, the act of showing compassion to the afflicted is, for Weil, a supernatural act, because it involves contemplating senselessness with those who suffer, without ceasing to love the world that produces such suffering. The contemplation of suffering is a kind of secondary level of suffering, an expenditure of energy with no reward. One suffers not only through acknowledging another individual’s affliction, but simply through acknowledging the possibility of such affliction. In her study of her own recovery from a brutal rape and attempted murder, philosopher Susan Brison noted a similar tendency in the responses of others to her suffering.

She found that even those closest to her seemed to respond to her attack with a certain level of denial, manifested as an impulsive need to find ways to mitigate the senselessness of the experience, the way in which there was nothing, really, for her to learn from it. She also notes that this tendency extended even to her own response to what had happened:

*I watched my own attempts to find something for which to be grateful, something to redeem the unmitigated awfulness: I was glad I didn’t have to reproach myself (or endure other’s reproaches) for having done something careless, but I wished I had done something I could consider reckless so that I could simply refrain from doing it in the future. . . . Those who haven’t been sexually violated may have difficulty understanding why women who survive assault often blame themselves and may wrongly attribute it to a sex-linked trait of masochism or lack of self-esteem. They don’t know that it can be less painful to believe that you did something blameworthy than it is to think that you live in a world where you can be attacked at any time, in any place, simply because you are a woman [12].*

Brison was amazed to find all kinds of people – even those working at rape counselling services - ask her questions like “were you alone? was it dark?” as if, were the answer “yes, yes” instead of “no, no”, this would give more sense to the crime, or inject some faint semblance of order to what happened.

Brison here testifies to the tendency that Weil judges to be an almost instinctive reaction; to see patterns and principles governing the distribution of suffering. In the most extreme cases, such as Brison’s, part of the experience of suffering is its senselessness, and therefore, compassion requires one to give up one’s demand for sense.

To give one’s attention to the suffering of others, on this reading, involves sacrifice. We have the ability to ‘sweeten what is bitter’, that is, to throw a veil over reality by seeing lessons, explanations, compensations where there are none, but this possibility must be renounced so that the suffering neighbour may be loved as they are, their past contemplated unredeemed. The deepest compassion, then, is supernatural, and differs from most acts of pity, which are frequently a kind of necessary guard against the impact of affliction when one can no longer avoid encountering it.

One usually offers help to someone so as to discharge the obligation to think of their suffering, or else to enjoy the feeling of power that comes through observing the effect that one’s efforts can have upon those less fortunate than oneself, whereas compassion involves treating the other as oneself, and may mean a reduction in one’s sense of power and life.

This painful identification is not necessarily made any easier by one’s relative experience or innocence: those who have not suffered may dread it, and so be unwilling to think of the possibility; those who have suffered rightly hate it and may wish only to forget it. It is also opposed to any kind of fascination or love of suffering.

Despite the fact that because of what one knows about her life and manner of death, one may read in many of her more extreme comments an unhealthy preoccupation with suffering, Weil is perfectly clear that the seeking out of suffering is mistaken, and wrong [13].

To seek suffering because of what it may, somehow, give or produce is to fail to recognise the destructive nature of affliction, and fail to value the life it destroys.

## Illness in the context of Life and Death

The new paradigm allows for a different strategy of engaging with patients whereby both the observer and the observed participate in a mutuality of interaction, whereby both are able to suffer better and accept the process of life as part of death. We cannot divorce pain and suffering from death. We cannot live under the illusion of eventual triumph over the angel of death. That myth has not served us well. Patients in ICU express the torture and horror experienced, all in the name of health and cure.

Death as an integral part of life in a conjunction of opposites, allows us to live in this space of uncertainty and fully accept the realities of life-in-the-shadow of death. James Hillman has been critical of the 20th century’s psychologies (e.g., biological psychology, behaviorism, cognitive psychology) that have adopted a natural scientific philosophy and praxis. Main criticisms include that they are reductive, materialistic, and literal; they are psychologies without psyche, without soul.

Accordingly, James Hillman’s oeuvre has been an attempt to restore psyche to what he believes to be “its proper place” in psychology.

Hillman sees the soul at work in imagination, in fantasy, in myth and in metaphor. He also sees soul revealed in psychopathology, in the symptoms



of psychological disorders. Psyche-pathos-logos is the “speech of the suffering soul” or the soul’s suffering of meaning.

A great portion of Hillman’s thought attempts to attend to the speech of the soul as it is revealed via images and fantasies. I believe that we need to extend Hillman’s ideas beyond psyche to bodily pain and degenerative disease as well. Releasing us from the male dominated, phallic, mastery of objective science and facts, and allowing a mythic non-literal approach to the imaginative faculty to see what it may conjure in images and dreams. Tapping into this reserve of psychic images of the body might allow a loosening of the strict black/white, A/non-A, psyche/soma splits that have paralyzed us in allopathic practice.

Emerging from the Jungian tradition, James Hillman’s “archetypal” psychology is a highly imaginative exploration of a psychological approach to death. Hillman, like Becker, is explicit in his use of mythic, religious themes, and is even more distant from conventional psychological discourse. So much so that readers who wish for some ordinary evidence, hypotheses, and reliance on other scientific norms will be entirely frustrated and tempted to dismiss Hillman. Yet it is intrinsic to Hillman’s revisioning of psychology to break the illusory bond between depth psychology and natural science, and reestablish the truer bond to myth. Here is a sample Hillman passage, which demonstrates how (as with Becker) style is not separable from subject-matter: “We ask: what is the purpose of this event for my soul, for my death? Such questions extend the dimension of depth without limit, and again psychology is pushed by Hades into an imperialism of soul, reflecting the imperialism of his Kingdom and the radical dominion of death” (1979:31–32). The reference to Hades and the echo of the New Testament are, as we shall see, central to Hillman’s purpose.

His Jungian background offers Hillman several advantages. First, he is not burdened with a defensive view of symbolism, a view which holds all symbol to be mystification, a screen to shield the symbolizer from naked reality. Hillman insists that images, symbols, and myths are the true stuff of psyche, and should not be denigrated as poor substitutes for a “real life” stripped of imagery. Second, Jungian thought does not feel

compelled to find in individual childhood the prototypes for all adult dilemmas. This may be a loss in some contexts. But Becker’s metaphysically oriented reinterpretations of Freud’s already theory-laden descriptions of childhood conflicts are implausible. It is a relief when Hillman relinquishes the literalism of childhood origins. However, Hillman rejects two mainstays of Jungian psychology: the reified “unconscious,” and the archetype of the self. The former rested on a false hope that depth psychology needed the appearance of scientific theory and on a falsely mechanical style of explanation. The disappearance of the self-archetype brings us to the heart of Hillman’s psycho-mythology.

Hillman holds that the proper starting point for a psychology is soul, “a perspective rather than a substance, a viewpoint toward things rather than a thing itself” (1975:x). Psychology, he believes, has been everything but soulish, taking its norms and methods from biology, sociology, and theology rather than from psyche. Within soul, there are to be discovered depths, death, dreams, the whole “night side” of life—and Gods. These Gods are the personifications and powers who have always haunted inner life; Jung simply rediscovered and renamed them, as “archetypes of the collective unconscious.” Soul evokes inwardness, and a whole approach to these powers within, emphasizing plurality and indeed fragmentation, as well as nonpossessiveness. These are not “my” archetypes; they are the true possessors and guardians of the soul and its depths. We can see here that soul carries what many would perceive as a feminine undertone, diametrically opposite to that of Becker’s heroic inner self.

All this requires the re-discovery of myth, and the role it plays in our unconscious lives, and the need to re-conjuring myth in the new synthetic re-imagination of the body.

The idea of turning inward is an important part of the psychological notion of containment. Whether referring to the “container” created by a psychologist and his or her patient in the therapy room or referring more generally to an individual’s psyche as a “container,” personal growth and

development require the holding of energies and emotions by concerned parties until these same psychodynamic forces can be experienced consciously, i.e. acknowledged, understood, and owned as important in some way to one’s wholeness and well-being. Hillman notes that this process of containing or soul-making can only occur when we emotionally open ourselves to our own wounds and afflictions:

*“Building the psychic vessel of containment, which is another way of speaking of soul-making, seems to require bleeding and leaking as its precondition. Why else go through that work unless we are driven by the despair of our unstoppered condition? The shift from anima-mess to anima-vessel shows in various ways: as a shift from weakness and suffering to humility and sensitivity; from bitterness and complaint to a taste for salt and blood; from focus upon the emotional pain of a wound – its causes, parameters, cures – to its imaginal depths; from displacement of the womb onto women and ‘femininity’ to its locus in one’s own bodily rhythm” [14].*

Myth itself, according to Joseph Campbell, represents the human search for what is true, significant, and meaningful. He says what we are seeking is “...an experience of being alive...so that our life experiences...will have resonances within our own innermost being and reality, so that we actually feel the rapture of being alive”.

According to proponents of this theory, polytheistic myths can provide psychological insight. In dis-ease the same might apply. The opening of the pain and anguish of incurable degenerative disease might also employ myth in the reconfiguring our self-image, our body image and the need to see both in a binary tension yet unified in the archetype that synthesizes. In my listening and examining my patients I must participate in the archetype that envelopes us both and allow myself to be vulnerable to all the baggage I bring to the encounter. I must surrender to the possibility of my own pain in the process of reaching out. I must work hard at opening this sacred space whereby both you and I may meet and be vulnerable to soul and en-soulment, and the incarnation of our soul’s code (Hillman’s term) in our specific illness. Only by taking such risk can I be of any use.

The medications and procedures availed us nought, at the end of the day the angel of death will have his way, despite the marvels of modern medicine and along the way we will sacrifice our souls. The outcome for this approach? Measurable? Viable? Statistically significant? I think not. But this model prepares us better to face decline and degeneration. It allows us to suffer better, to feel our pain better, and to resist the anesthetizing effects of modern pharma and medical device companies that are vested in the medical industry. It allows us to face life and death together and in full conscious awareness of our biography and our soul’s code.

In this sacred space of pain and suffering BOTH physician and patient participate in a sacred space, where the divine archetype is present. In this mythic space the myth allows for a re-imagining of our lives and our biographies, our illnesses and our prognoses. The work requires us both to develop an openness to this idea of the dream body, but to cure or alleviate, rather bringer into sharper focus, to provide the space between body and image, and a reimagining and imagining pain and incurable disease in a new key.

In the opening pages of his book, *Dream body: The Body’s Role in Revealing the Self* by Arnold Mindell [15]. Mindell suggests that Jung may have been hinting at dream body work when he says in his autobiography, *Memories, Dreams, Reflections*, that it is necessary for the analyst to put his training and pre-conceptions behind him and begin anew with each patient, “re-creating psychology”.

---

The irony is that it requires a high degree of discipline, experience and knowledge to do just that. I believe that physicians managing chronic pain also need to leave preconceptions and reach out to each patient. They need to provide a container of safety in which the work of healing must take place.

This is a different model than the allopathic medical model founded upon the split between psyche and soma. Thus I am trying to extend what Jung's findings and speculations were in the psychological states, to real-life organic somatic physical states of medical illness as well.

For Mindell the way was paved in part by his own illness, and the opportunity to work with terminally ill patients, for whom the reality of the somatic situation could not be met by psychological explanations.

They only served to intellectualize the experience. It was then that he and his patients began to let the body "speak" for itself, to amplify its symptoms, which led in time to the re-formulation of the age-old concept of the dream body.

"The dream body is a collection of energy vortices held together by the total personality." For Alice Johnston, Dream body work has meant that dream exclusive of body work, or body exclusive of dream work, seem obsolete. The implications of a system which views dream and body as one process, hovering between body sensation and mythical realization are vast. It is precisely in these spaces of indefiniteness and lack of analytic precision that new paradigms might emerge.

According to Mindell, when we change from real body awareness to dream body, we must put aside real body questions. "Illness is an ego concept, a definition belonging to the realm of the real body."

Questions about the origins of disease pre-judge its nature and prejudice the experience and experiencing of the dream body. "If we want to get at the individual roots of psychological processes we must observe the personal, changing experience of the body."

In challenging the assumptions of Carl and Stephanie Simonton's work with cancer patients [16], the openness of a dream body approach comes into sharpest focus. The Simontons [17], describe meditative imaginings in which medicine appears as a positive force, combatting evil cancer. With some of Mindell's patients, the medicines are identified with evil, and the cancer with the Self.

O. Carl Simonton, M.D., claimed that cancers can be affected by relaxation and visualization techniques. He claimed that this approach can lessen fears and tension, strengthen the patient's will to live, increase optimism, and alter the course of a malignancy by strengthening the immune system. However, he never published the results of any well-designed study testing his ideas.

Simonton theorized that the brain could stimulate endocrine glands to inspire the immune system to attack cancer cells. He and his wife Stephanie (a psychotherapist) taught cancer patients to imagine their cancer being destroyed by their white blood cells.

Simonton's book *Getting Well Again* included reports on patients who got better after using his methods. However, an analysis of five of the reports that might seem most impressive to laypersons noted that two of the patients had undergone standard treatment, one had a slow-growing tumor, and one probably did not have cancer. The fifth patient's tumor was treatable by standard means [18].

Some people suggest that Simonton's program may have positive effects by

helping people to relax and to feel that they are "doing something" positive. Although this approach is physically harmless, it can waste people's time and money and encourage some to abandon effective care. It can also cause people to feel ashamed or guilty that some inner inadequacy caused them to develop cancer and is interfering with their recovery.

For Johnston what he is saying is that if we are to learn from our illnesses, we must reach beyond a consciousness pre-occupied with healing and cure. Or to phrase it more positively: "Dream body work heals the body by relieving it from doing, and by integrating symptoms as meaningful aspects of existence." Perhaps the strongest analogy to dream body work is found in the Tao which Mindell defines as a "pre-meaningful field, a sort of force operating on the personality or radiated by it." When the individual is in touch with the body spirit of the Self, he no longer experiences himself as a particle in a field, he is that field and dances effortlessly.

In common with yogic and shamanistic practices, "dream body awareness is a preparation for death and a living confrontation with the timeless nature of the personality." I believe that the failure of strategies to deal with chronic pain and disease have forced us to consider different approaches. We need a new template to define and articulate the basis for healing. It can no longer use the military model of war, defeat, overcoming pain and disease.

Jung suggested the body is in tension with the psyche as a conjunction of opposites. The energies contained in the body speak for themselves, however, we as healers must learn to "read" these unique voices. We can only do this from our own perspective of pain and our own experience of illness.

In my own practice the only so-called successes I have had usually occurred when I was able to share in the suffering of my patients and allow them to suffer alongside me in this container of mutual work.

Here we allow our imaginative faculties to emerge in a bond of safety and respect. In this space I invite the patient to imagine the reality of their lives and the slow decay and degeneration that is that reality.

I make space for the grieving for their lives to occur without judgment. Here the issue of pain and suffering comes to the forefront and here the notion of surrender becomes important. The imagination and inner work like journaling and meditation allow for a new space between us to emerge where the specific biographic history is put in perspective, the past abuses, violence, trauma, injury, psychic trauma emerge as nodal points are given their due respect and attention with no theory or relief, no school or medical data to save either of us from the immediacy of the pain and facing the shadow. Facing the shadow means confronting the pain and dis-ease, being "nailed" to the cross (as in Simone Weil) and allowing the mythic archetype to engage and be present.

Despite the body of pain and its decay, its degeneration, and prognosis, the imaginative construction of this reality does not allow for the usual optimism underlying medical care and the eventual conquering of disease. Rather disease is inevitable and the real challenge is moving beyond "healing" the way individuation does not mean the relief of the self by strengthening ego, rather the full acceptance of the shadow side, the dark side, the illness and decay.

The holding of these opposites in tension, without resolution, and the participation of archetypal influences in the process (for instance the senex as the sage). In the individuation process, the archetype of the Wise old man was late to emerge and seen as an indication of the Self. 'If an individual has wrestled seriously enough and long enough with the anima (or animus) problem...the unconscious again changes its dominant character and

---

appears in a new symbolic form...as a masculine initiator and guardian (an Indian guru), a wise old man, a spirit of nature, and so forth'. The same applies to the feminine archetype.

These archetypes allow us to participate in transpersonal nodal points of imaginative meaning which alleviate us of the burden and guilt of self, of the objective burden of reality as-it-is measured. In allowing both the healer and the patient into this archetypal imaginative role there is a relief from the burden of constant treatment.

We allow for pain and suffering in this space of the senex, where wisdom emerges from the very acceptance of decay and degeneration. This may all sound very un-scientific but the current scientific data show miserable results for all medical and surgical strategies in dealing with pain. The non-conventional alternative literature is no better. A new trajectory must be sought whereby the so-called object or patient being held under the microscope can no longer suffice as a model much in the way that in quantum mechanics the positionality of the observer becomes critical in the outcome of the very experiment. Here too the doctor/physician/healer can no longer observe the patient as an objective scientist and can no longer divorce the psychic/spiritual dimensions of pain and suffering. Those strategies have not worked using the very scientific methods applied.

## Discussion

I have summarized some nodes of revisioning below:

### Embracing Spirituality on the Path of Chronic Illness

Living with chronic illness can be an isolating and overwhelming experience. Traditional medical approaches often address the physical aspects, but the emotional and spiritual dimensions are equally crucial. The Spiritual Path of Chronic Illness acknowledges the interconnectedness of body, mind, and spirit, offering a holistic approach to healing. By incorporating spiritual practices, mindfulness, and self-discovery, individuals can find a profound sense of purpose even amidst the pain.

### Navigating the Journey Finding Meaning Amidst the Pain

Chronic illness challenges one's sense of purpose and identity. By seeking meaning within the pain, individuals can reframe their experience. It's not about denying the pain, but rather acknowledging it as a teacher. By exploring the lessons pain brings, individuals can cultivate resilience and a deeper understanding of themselves.

### The Power of Mind-Body Connection

The mind-body connection plays a pivotal role in therapeutic management. Practices such as meditation, deep breathing, and yoga can help alleviate pain by promoting relaxation and reducing stress. These practices foster a sense of unity between the physical body and the mind, leading to enhanced well-being.

### Embracing Acceptance and Letting Go

Resistance to suffering often intensifies anguish. Embracing acceptance doesn't imply giving up, but rather surrendering to what is. Letting go of resistance can lead to a shift in focus from fighting the pain to nurturing the soul. This mindset shift can empower individuals to regain control over their lives. See Rami Shapiro's work in this area [19].

### Cultivating Self-Compassion

Self-compassion is a vital aspect of the spiritual path of chronic illness. Individuals tend to be harsher on themselves due to their condition. Practicing self-compassion involves treating oneself with the same kindness and understanding as one would offer a friend. This practice cultivates self-love and inner peace.

## Connecting with a Supportive Community

Isolation is a common challenge for those with chronic illness. Joining a supportive community provides a space to share experiences, exchange coping strategies, and offer empathy. Online or in-person support groups can help individuals realize they're not alone on this journey.

## The Role of Gratitude in Healing

Practicing gratitude can transform one's perspective. Despite the pain, there are still aspects of life to be grateful for. Keeping a gratitude journal or regularly reflecting on blessings can shift the focus away from pain and towards the beauty that exists.

## Transcending the Ego: Finding the Observer Self

Identifying with pain can amplify its effects. By connecting with the observer self — the part of us that witnesses experiences without judgment — one can detach from pain's grip. This practice creates a sense of separation between pain and identity.

## Surrendering Control and Trusting the Journey

The need for control is a common response to chronic pain. However, surrendering control and trusting the journey can be liberating. It involves acknowledging that there are forces beyond our control and embracing the uncertainty of life.

## The Transformative Power of Creativity

Engaging in creative pursuits provides an outlet for self-expression and healing. Whether it's art, music, writing, or any other form of creativity, these activities tap into the soul's essence and offer a way to transcend pain's limitations.

## Accepting the Fluctuating Nature of Pain

Chronic illness is unpredictable and can vary in intensity. Accepting the fluctuations without becoming attached to them is a key aspect of the spiritual path. This practice cultivates adaptability and reduces suffering from resistance.

## Finding Hope Through Spirituality

Spirituality offers a source of hope and inspiration. Whether through religious practices, connecting with nature, or exploring one's inner world, embracing spirituality can provide solace and comfort on the journey of chronic pain.

## Conclusion

The Spiritual Path offers a transformative journey from suffering to empowerment. By embracing spirituality, individuals can find purpose, acceptance, and healing amidst the challenges of illness.

This holistic approach addresses the physical, emotional, and spiritual dimensions, allowing for a comprehensive and enriching healing process. Remember, while suffering may be inevitable, anguish is optional.

## References

1. Simone Weil: suffering, attention and compassionate thought, Dr Stuart Jesson, Department of Theology and Religious Studies York St John University.
2. See 'Factory journal' in Formative writings, ed. and trans. Dorothy Tuck McFarland and Wilhelmina Van Ness (2010) (Oxford: Routledge).
3. See 'The Iliad, poem of might' in Intimations of Christianity among the ancient Greeks (Oxford: Routledge, 1998). E. Jane Doering's recent book explores this aspect of Weil's thought, see Simone Weil and the spectre of self-perpetuating force (Notre Dame: University of Indiana Press, 2010).
4. Weil, First and last notebooks, tr. Richard Rees (London: Oxford University Press, 1970), p. 148.



5. Weil, First and last notebooks, tr. Richard Rees (London: Oxford University Press, 1970), p. 148.
6. Ibid., p. 65.
7. Simone Weil, On science, necessity and the love of God (trans. Richard Rees; London: Oxford University Press, 1968), p. 185.
8. Ibid., p. 184.
9. Weil, Gateway to God, ed. David Raper (London: Fontana, 1974), p. 91.
10. Simone Weil, Gravity and Grace trans. Emma Crauford and Mario von der Ruhr (London: Routledge, 2nd edition, 2002), p. 82.
11. Weil, Notebooks, trans. Arthur Wills (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1956), p. 157.
12. See Weil's comments on the way that devotion may be substituted for obedience in situations of extreme servitude in First and last notebooks, pp. 41-42.
13. Susan Brison, Aftermath: violence and the re-making of a self (Woodstock: Princeton University Press, 2002), pp. 9-12.
14. Weil, Science, necessity and the love of God, p. 184 and First and last notebooks, p. 3. On Weil's account it is impossible seek out suffering – one would only be seeking something else, held to be of benefit, under that name.
15. Hillman, James. Senex & Puer. Ed. and Intro. by Glen Slater. Putnam, Conn.: Spring Pub., Inc., 2005:
16. Santa Monica, CA: Sigo Press, 1982, 219 pages. Achterberg J, Matthews S, Simonton OC: Psychology of the exceptional cancer patient: a description of patients who outlive predicted life expectancies. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, and Practice, accepted for publication June 21, 1976.
17. <https://www.latimes.com/nation/la-me-carl-simonton3-2009jul03-story.html>
18. Friedlander ER. Mental imagery. In Barrett S, Cassileth BR. Dubious cancer treatment. Tampa, Florida: American Cancer Society, Florida Division, 1991, pp 73-78.
19. Surrendered—The Sacred Art: Shattering the Illusion of Control and Falling into Grace with Twelve-Step Spirituality (The Art of Spiritual Living) SkyLight Paths July 23, 2019.

**Cite this article:** Julian Ungar-Sargon. (2024) The Therapeutic Vision Non-Conventional Healing: A New Paradigm. Journal Of Neurology and Neuroscience Research (5): 54-59.

**Copyright:** ©2024 **Julian Ungar-Sargon**. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.